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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove mean convergence of interpolation polynomials in a domain with some corners. 1994 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain bounded by a Jordan curve $\Gamma$, and let $U$ be the unit disk. $z=\Psi(w)$ denotes the one-to-one conformal mapping of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \bar{U}$ onto $\mathbb{C} \backslash \bar{D}$, normalized by the condition $\Psi(\infty)=\infty, \Psi^{\prime}(\infty)>0$. We denote the inverse mapping of $\Psi$ by $\Phi$.

Let

$$
A(\bar{D})=\{f: f \text { analytic in } D \text { and continuous on } \bar{D}\} .
$$

In the case $\Gamma$ is rectifiable, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p}=\left\{\int_{\Gamma}|f(z)|^{p}|d z|\right\}^{1 / p} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $S_{n}$ consisting of $n$ distinct points on $\Gamma, L_{n}(f, z)$ denote the Lagrange interpolation polynomials to $f \in A(\bar{D})$ on $S_{n}$. Generally, we cannot expect to find a sequence $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ such that $L_{n}(f, z)$ is convergent uniformly on $\bar{D}$ for any $f \in A(\bar{D})$. Then it is reasonable to consider mean convergence of $\left\{L_{n}(f, z)\right\}$ on $\Gamma$. When $D=U$ and

$$
S_{n}=\left\{e^{(2 k \pi / n) i}, k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

it is well known [1] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|f(z)-L_{n}(f, z)\right\|_{p}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<p<\infty, f \in A(\bar{D})$.

In the general case, $S_{n}$ usually consists of the Fejér points on $\Gamma$, which means

$$
S_{n}=\left\{\Psi\left(e^{(2 k \pi / n) i}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

Curtiss [2] showed (1.2) when $p=2$ and $\Gamma$ is an analytic curve. Al'per and Kalinogorskaya [3] proved (1.2) when $\Gamma$ is $2+\delta$ smooth. Recently, Shen and Zhong [4] got the same result when $\Gamma$ is $1+\delta$ smooth. However, no corners are allowed in these papers. In [7], Thompson stated theorems for uniform convergence on closed subsets of $D$ that cover cases when $\Gamma$ possesses some corners with exterior angles not less than $\pi$. As we know, uniform convergence on closed subsets of $D$ is much weaker than mean convergence on $\Gamma$, and the restriction on exterior angles would lose some generalities. We refer the reader to $[5,6]$ for surveys for the problem and its history.

In this paper, we prove (1.2) in the case when $\Gamma$ possesses some corners. We call $D$ admissible, if there exist $\left\{r_{j}, j=1, \ldots, K\right\} \subset \partial U$, $\left\{\alpha_{j}, j=1, \ldots, K\right\} \subset(0,2), c_{1}>0, c_{2}>0$, and $\beta>0$, such that

$$
|\lambda(u)| \geqslant c_{1}, \quad|u| \geqslant 1
$$

and

$$
\left|\lambda\left(u_{1}\right)-\lambda\left(u_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant c_{2}\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|^{\beta}, \quad\left|u_{1}\right|, \mid u_{2} \geqslant 1
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\lambda}(u)=\Psi^{\prime}(u) \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(u^{-1}-\tau_{j}^{-1}\right)^{1-x_{j}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, if $D$ is admissible, $\Gamma$ posseses a continuously turning tangent except at the points $\Psi\left(\tau_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, K$, at which $\Gamma$ has half tangents with exterior angles $\pi \alpha_{j}$. Conversely, if $\Gamma$ consists of a finite number of arcs with continuous curvature and the exterior angles not being $0,2 \pi$, then $D$ is admissible.

The main result in this paper is the following.
Theorem. Suppose that $0<p<\infty$ and $D$ is admissible and that $S_{n}$ consists of the Fejér points. Then for any $f \in(A \bar{D})$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f(z)-L_{n}(f, z)\right\|_{p}=0
$$

As in [5], the main idea of proof is using the theory of singular integral. First, we show that the Fejér points are uniformly separated inside a level curve. Second, we find a function $h$ to interpolate $f$, which may not be a
polynomial but in analytic inside the level curve. Third, $L_{n}(f, z)$ is taken as the weighted singular integral of $h$. Finally, we show that the interpolation polynomial operators $L_{n}: A(\bar{D}) \rightarrow L^{p}$ are bounded uniformly by the theory of singular integral and the estimation of the weight.

In the following the domain $D$ is always assumed admissible, and $c_{j}$ denote positive constant only depending on $D$ and $p$.

## 2. Preliminary Facts

For $1 \leqslant|u|,|w| \leqslant 2$, we have [8, p. 387]

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3}^{-1} \leqslant \frac{|\Psi(u)-\Psi(w)|}{|u-w|\left(\left|u-\tau_{k}\right|+|u-w|\right)^{\alpha_{k}-1}} \leqslant c_{3}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{k}$ is the closest point to $u$ among $\left\{\tau_{j}, j=1, \ldots, K\right\}$.
For $z \in \mathbb{C}, E \subset \mathbb{C}$, let

$$
d(z, E)=\inf _{\zeta \in E}|z-\zeta| ;
$$

then for $\rho>1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi\left(e^{i t}\right)-\Psi\left(\rho e^{i t}\right)\right| \leqslant c_{4} d\left(\Psi\left(e^{i t}\right), \gamma_{\rho}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{\rho}=\{\zeta:|\Phi(\zeta)|=\rho\} .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n, k}=\Psi\left(e^{(2 k \pi / n) i}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be $n$th Fejér points. We take $z_{n, n+1}=z_{n, 1}$. By (2.1) and (2.2), we can find $c_{0}$, such that for $\Gamma_{n}=\gamma_{1+c_{0} / n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right) \leqslant \min _{j \neq k}\left|z_{n, j}-z_{n, k}\right|, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right| \leqslant c_{5} d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
Let $D_{n}$ be the interior of $\Gamma_{n}$. For $F \in L^{p}\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$, we denote

$$
\|F\|_{p, n}=\left\{\int_{\Gamma_{n}}|F(z)|^{p}|d z|\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

When $1<p<\infty$, we define

$$
\mathbb{P} F(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{n}} \frac{F(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta, \quad z \in D_{n}
$$

then $\mathbb{P} F \in E^{p}\left(D_{n}\right)$, and [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P F\|_{p} \leqslant c_{6}\|F\|_{p, n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}, r>0$, let

$$
U(z, r)=\{\zeta:|\zeta-z|<r\}
$$

and let

$$
S(z, r)=\int_{\zeta \in I \cap U(z, r)}|d \zeta| .
$$

Since $\Gamma$ is piecewise smooth, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(z, r) \leqslant c_{7} r . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D$ is a Lipschitz domain, for any $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \Gamma$, there exists an arc $\overparen{z_{1} z_{2}} \subset \Gamma$ connecting $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widehat{z_{1} z_{2}}\right|=\int_{\widehat{z_{1} z_{2}}}|d z| \leqslant c_{8}\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Uniform Separated

Points $\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ in $U$ are called $\eta_{1}$-uniformly separated, if

$$
\inf _{k} \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{\left|w_{j}-w_{k}\right|}{\left|1-\bar{w}_{k} w_{j}\right|} \geqslant \eta_{1}>0
$$

and we call $\left\{w_{j}\right\} \quad \eta_{2}$-weakly separated, if

$$
\inf _{j \neq k}\left|\frac{w_{j}-w_{k}}{1-\bar{w}_{k} w_{j}}\right| \geqslant \eta_{2}>0, \quad \text { for all } k
$$

Let $z_{0}$ be a fixed point in $D$, and let $\phi_{n}: D_{n} \rightarrow U$ be the conformal mapping satisfying $\phi_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and $\phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)>0$. We denote the inverse mapping of $\phi_{n}$ by $\psi_{n}$.

Lemma 1. For any $n>0, \quad\left\{\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ are $\frac{1}{16}$-weakly separated.

Proof. Let $\phi_{n, k}: D_{n} \rightarrow U$ be the conformal mapping satisfying $\phi_{n, k}\left(z_{n, k}\right)=0$ and $\phi_{n, k}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right)>0$. Then [9, p.96]

$$
\phi_{n, k}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right) d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{4}
$$

It is very easy to verify that [11]

$$
\phi^{*}(w)=\frac{\phi_{n, k}\left(d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right) w+z_{k, n}\right)}{\phi_{n, k}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right) d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right)} \in S
$$

By the Koebe's $\frac{1}{4}$-theorem, we know that $\left\{\phi_{n, k}(z):\left|z-z_{k, n}\right|<d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right)\right\}$ covers $\left\{w:|w|<\frac{1}{4} \phi_{n, k}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right) d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right)\right\}$.

By (2.4), for $j \neq k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, j}\right)-\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right)}{1-\overline{\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right)} \phi_{n}\left(z_{n, j}\right)}\right| & =\left|\phi_{n, k}\left(z_{n, j}\right)\right| \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{4} \phi_{n, k}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right) d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{16} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A positive meausre $\mu$ on $D_{n}$ is called $\eta_{3}$-Carleson measure, if for any $z \in \Gamma_{n}, r>0$, we have

$$
\mu(U(z, r)) \leqslant \eta_{3} r .
$$

Let $\delta_{z}$ be the unit mass concentrated at $z$.
Lemma 2. For any $n>0$, let

$$
v_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right| \delta_{z_{n, k}} .
$$

Then $v_{n}$ is a $c_{9}$-Carleson measure on $D_{n}$.
Proof. In fact, we only prove the lemma when $n$ is sufficiently large. For any $\zeta \in \Gamma_{n}, r>0$, there exists $\zeta^{*} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash D_{n}$ such that

$$
\left|\zeta^{*}-\zeta\right|=r
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(\zeta^{*}, \Gamma_{n}\right) \geqslant c_{10} r . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $z \in U(\zeta, r)$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \leqslant \frac{4}{\left|z-\zeta^{*}\right|^{2}} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{n}(U(\zeta, r)) & =\sum_{z_{n, k} \in U(\zeta, r)}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right| \\
& \leqslant 4 r^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|}{\left|z_{n, k-\zeta, k}\right|^{2}} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $z \in{\widehat{z_{n, k} z_{n, k+1}}}$, by (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z-z_{n, k}\right| & \leqslant\left|\widehat{z z}_{n, k}\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|\widehat{z}_{n, k} z_{n, k+1}\right| \\
& \leqslant c_{8}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|z-\zeta^{*}\right| & \leqslant\left|z-z_{n, k}\right|+\left|z_{n, k}-\zeta^{*}\right| \\
& \leqslant c_{8}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|+\left|z_{n, k}-\zeta^{*}\right| \\
& \leqslant c_{8} d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right)+\left|z_{n, k}-\zeta^{*}\right| \\
& \leqslant\left(1+c_{8}\right)\left|z_{n, k}-\zeta^{*}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

That means

$$
\frac{1}{\left|z_{n, k}-\zeta^{*}\right|} \leqslant \frac{\left(1+c_{8}\right)}{\left|z-\zeta^{*}\right|}, \quad z \in \widehat{z_{n, k} z_{n, k+1}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|}{\left|z_{n, k}-\zeta^{*}\right|^{2}} & \leqslant\left(1+c_{8}\right)^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z_{n, k} z_{n, k+1}} \frac{|d z|}{\left|z-\zeta^{*}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\left(1+c_{8}\right)^{2} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|d z|}{\left|z-\zeta^{*}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\left(1+c_{8}\right)^{2} \int_{d\left(\zeta^{*}, \Gamma\right)}^{+\infty} \frac{d S\left(\zeta^{*}, t\right)}{t^{2}} \\
& \leqslant c_{11} \frac{1}{d\left(\zeta^{*}, \Gamma\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality is because of (2.7). By (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$
v_{n}(U(\zeta, r)) \leqslant c_{12} r .
$$

Lemma 3. For any $n>0,\left\{\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ are $c_{13}$-uniformly separated.

Proof. Since we have shown that $\left\{\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ are $\frac{1}{16}$-weakly separated, we only need prove that

$$
\mu_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-\left|\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \delta_{\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right)}
$$

is a $c_{14}$-Carleson measure on $U[10$, p. 287].
Since $v_{n}$ is a $c_{10}$-Carleson measure on $D_{n}$, then for any $h \in E^{1}\left(D_{n}\right)$, we have [9]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|h\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right| & =\iint_{D_{n}}|h| d v_{n} \\
& \leqslant c_{15}\|h\|_{1, n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(w)=\psi_{n}^{\prime}(w) h \circ \psi_{n}(w), \quad w \in U \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $g \in H^{1}$, and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|g \circ \phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|\left|\phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right| \leqslant c_{15}\|g\|_{1}
$$

By the Koebe distortion theorem [9, p. 96] and (2.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
1-\left|\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|^{2} & \leqslant 8\left|\phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right| d\left(z_{n, k}, \Gamma_{n}\right) \\
& \leqslant 8\left|\phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right| . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\iint_{U}|g| d \mu_{n} \leqslant 8 c_{15}\|g\|_{1} .
$$

Since very function in $H^{1}$ can be written in the form of (3.3), the above inequality holds for any $g \in H^{1}$, which is equivalent to that $\mu_{n}$ is a $c_{14}$-Carleson measure on $U$.

Lemma 4. Suppose $0<p<\infty,\left\{a_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ are complex numbers. There exists $h \in E^{p}\left(D_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(z_{n, k}\right)=a_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\|h\|_{p, n} \leqslant c_{16}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
b_{k}=a_{k}\left[\phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

From Lemma 3 we can find a $g \in H^{p}$ such that [10]

$$
\left(g \circ \phi_{n}\right)\left(z_{n, k}\right)=b_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n,
$$

and

$$
\|g\|_{p} \leqslant c_{16}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|b_{k}\right|^{p}\left(1-\left|\phi_{n}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|^{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

Let

$$
h(z)=\left[\phi_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right]^{1 / p}\left(g \circ \phi_{n}\right)(z) \in E^{p}\left(D_{n}\right)
$$

Then we have (3.5). By (3.4) we have

$$
\|h\|_{p, n}=\|g\|_{p} \leqslant 8^{1 / p} c_{16}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|a_{k}\right|^{p}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

4. An Estimation of $\left|\omega_{n}(z)\right|$ on $\Gamma_{n}$

Let

$$
\omega_{n}(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{n, k}\right) .
$$

Lemma 5. For any $z \in \Gamma_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{17}^{-1} \leqslant\left|\frac{\omega_{n}(z)}{d^{n}}\right| \leqslant c_{17} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=\Psi^{\prime}(\infty)$.

Proof. As in [4], the function

$$
\chi(w, u)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{\Psi(w)-\Psi(u)}{d(w-u)}, & u \neq w \\
\frac{\Psi^{\prime}(w)}{d}, & u=w
\end{array}\right.
$$

is clearly an analytic function of $u$ for fixed $w,|u|>1,|w|>1$, and $\chi(w, \infty)=1$. The univalence of $\Psi(w)$ implies that $\chi(w, u)$ cannot vanish for $|u|>1,|w|>1$.
Let $\log \chi(w, u)$ denote the branch of logarithm for which $\log \chi(w, \infty)=0$; then we have the Laurent series

$$
\log \chi(w, u)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}(w)}{u^{j}} .
$$

For $z=\Psi(w) \in \Gamma_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{\omega_{n}(z)}{d^{n}\left(w^{n}-1\right)}=n \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} a_{n t}(w) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $|w|=1+c_{0} / n, k \geqslant n$, we now estimate $\left|a_{k}(w)\right|$. Evidently

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{k}(w)= & \frac{1}{2 k(k+1) \pi i} \int_{|u|=1+c_{0} / 2 k} u^{k+1} \frac{\partial^{2} \log \chi(w, u)}{\partial u^{2}} d u \\
= & \frac{1}{2 k(k+1) \pi i} \int \frac{u^{k+1} d u}{(u-w)^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 k(k+1) \pi i} \int \frac{u^{k+1}\left[\Psi^{\prime}(u)\right]^{2}}{[\Psi(u)-\Psi(w)]^{2}} d u \\
& +\frac{1}{2 k(k+1) \pi i} \int \frac{u^{k+1} \Psi^{\prime \prime}(u)}{\Psi(u)-\Psi(w)} d u \\
= & B_{1}(w)+B_{2}(w)+B_{3}(w) . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For the sake of simplicity we omit the path of integration $|u|=1+c_{0} / 2 k$ in the following part of this section. There is no essential effect and notations and computation are much easier if we assume that there is only one corner on $\Gamma, \tau_{1}=1$ and $\alpha_{1}=\alpha$.
Since $|u|<|w|$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}(w)=0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{2}(w)\right| & \leqslant \frac{\left(1+c_{0} / 2 k\right)^{k+1}}{2 k(k+1) \pi} \int \frac{|\lambda(u)||u-1|^{2 x-2}}{|\Psi(u)-\Psi(w)|^{2}}|d u| \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{19}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|u-1|^{2 \alpha-2}|d u|}{|u-w|^{2}(|u-1|+|u-w|)^{2 x-2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\alpha \geqslant 1$, clearly we have

$$
\left|B_{2}(w)\right| \leqslant \frac{c_{19}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w|^{2}} \leqslant \frac{c_{20} n}{k^{2}} .
$$

In the case when $0<\alpha<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{2}(w)\right| & \leqslant \frac{2 c_{19}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|u-1|^{2-2 \alpha}+|u-w|^{2--2 \alpha}}{|u-w|^{2}|u-1|^{2-2 \alpha}}|d u| \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 c_{19}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w|^{2}}+\frac{2 c_{19}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w|^{2 \alpha}|u-1|^{2-2 \alpha}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 c_{20} n}{k^{2}}+\frac{2 c_{19}}{k^{2}}\left\{\int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w|^{2}}\right\}^{\alpha}\left\{\int \frac{|d u|}{|u-1|^{2}}\right\}^{1-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality is because of Hölder's inequality. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{2}(w)\right| \leqslant \frac{2 c_{20} n}{k^{2}}+\frac{c_{21} n^{\alpha}}{k^{1+x}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in both cases $1 \leqslant \alpha<2$ and $0<\alpha<1$.
By (1.3) we have

$$
\Psi^{\prime \prime}(u)=-\frac{(\alpha-1) \lambda(u)}{u^{2}}\left(u^{-1}-1\right)^{x-2}+\lambda^{\prime}(u)\left(u^{-1}-1\right)^{x-1}
$$

## Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|B_{3}(w)\right| \leqslant & \frac{c_{22}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|\lambda(u)||u-1|^{\alpha-2}}{|\Psi(u)-\Psi(w)|}|d u| \\
& +\frac{c_{22}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{\left|\lambda^{\prime}(u)\right||u-1|^{\alpha-1}}{|\Psi(u)-\Psi(w)|}|d u| \\
= & B_{31}(w)+B_{32}(w) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.1) we have

$$
B_{31}(w) \leqslant \frac{c_{23}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|u-1|^{\alpha-2}|d u|}{|u-w|(|u-1|+|u-w|)^{\alpha-1}}
$$

If $\alpha \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{31} & \leqslant \frac{c_{23}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w||u-1|} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{23}}{k^{2}}\left\{\int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w|^{2}}\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int \frac{|d u|}{|u-1|^{2}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{24} n^{1 / 2}}{k^{3 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case when $0<\alpha<1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{31}(w) & \leqslant \frac{c_{23}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|u-1|^{1-\alpha}+|u-w|^{1-\alpha}}{|u-w||u-1|^{2-\alpha}}|d u| \\
& =\frac{c_{23}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w||u-1|}+\frac{c_{23}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-1|^{2-\alpha}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{24} n^{1 / 2}}{k^{3 / 2}}+\frac{c_{25} n^{\alpha}}{k^{2}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-1|^{2-\alpha}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{24} n^{1 / 2}}{k^{3 / 2}}+\frac{c_{26} n^{\alpha}}{k^{1+\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{31} \leqslant \frac{c_{24} n^{1 / 2}}{k^{3 / 2}}+\frac{c_{26} n^{\alpha}}{k^{1+\alpha}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in both cases $1 \leqslant \alpha<2$ and $0<\alpha<1$.
Since $\lambda(u) \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\beta}$ we have [12, p. 74]

$$
\left|\lambda^{\prime}(u)\right| \leqslant c_{27}\left(1-\left|u^{-1}\right|\right)^{\beta-1}, \quad|u| \geqslant 1 .
$$

Hence

$$
B_{32} \leqslant \frac{c_{28}}{k^{1+\beta}} \int \frac{|u-1|^{\alpha-1}}{|u-w|(|u-1|+|u-w|)^{\alpha-1}}|d u| .
$$

If $\alpha \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{32}(w) & \leqslant \frac{c_{28}}{k^{1+\beta}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w|} \\
& \leqslant c_{29} \frac{\log n}{k^{1+\beta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case when $0<\alpha<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{32}(w) & \leqslant \frac{c_{28}}{k^{1+\beta}} \int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w|}+\frac{c_{28}}{k^{1+\beta}} \int \frac{|d u|}{\left|u-w^{\alpha}\right| u-\left.1\right|^{1-\alpha}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{29} \log n}{k^{1+\beta}}+\frac{c_{28}}{k^{1+\beta}}\left\{\int \frac{|d u|}{|u-w|}\right\}^{\alpha}\left\{\int \frac{|d u|}{|u-1|}\right\}^{1-\alpha} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{30} \log k}{k^{1+\beta}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we always have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{32} \leqslant \frac{c_{30} \log k}{k^{1+\beta}}, \quad 0<\alpha<2 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.3)-(4.8) we conclude

$$
\left|a_{k}(w)\right| \leqslant c_{31}\left(\frac{n^{1 / 2}}{k^{3 / 2}}+\frac{n^{\alpha}}{k^{1+\alpha}}+\frac{\log k}{k^{1+\beta}}\right)
$$

Together with (4.2) we have

$$
\left|\log \frac{\omega_{n}(z)}{d^{n}\left(w^{n}-1\right)}\right| \leqslant c_{32}, \quad z=\Psi(w), \quad|w|=1+\frac{c_{0}}{n}
$$

That implies (4.1).

## 5. Marcinkiewicz-ZyGmund Inequalities

We extend the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities to the admissible domain.

Lemma 6. Suppose $1<p<\infty$; then for any $P_{n-1}$, a polynomial of degree at most $n-1$, we have

$$
\left\|P_{n-1}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{33}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|P_{n-1}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|^{p}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

Remark. By the Bernstein inequality we know that $\left\|P_{n-1}\right\|_{p, n} \leqslant$ $c_{34}\left\|P_{n-1}\right\|_{p}$; from lemma 2 we can easily get

$$
\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|P_{n-1}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|^{p}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|\right\}^{1 / p} \leqslant c_{35}\left\|P_{n-1}\right\|_{p}
$$

This is the other part of Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities.
Proof. From Lemma 4, there exists an $h \in E^{p}\left(D_{n}\right)$, such that

$$
h\left(z_{n, k}\right)=P_{n-1}\left(z_{n, k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|_{p, n} \leqslant c_{16}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|P_{n-1}\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|^{p}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|\right\}^{1 / p} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $P_{n-1}(z)$ is the Lagrange interpolation polynomial to $h(z)$ at $\left\{z_{n, k}\right\}$, we have

$$
P_{n-1}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{n}} \frac{\omega_{n}(\zeta)-\omega_{n}(z)}{\omega_{n}(\zeta)} \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d \zeta .
$$

For $z \in D_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z)-P_{n-1}(z) & =\frac{\omega_{n}(z)}{2 \pi i} \int \frac{f(\zeta)}{\omega_{n}(\zeta)} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta-z} \\
& =\omega_{n}(z) \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{f}{\omega_{n}}\right)(z), \quad z \in D_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.6) and Lemma 5

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h-P_{n-1}\right\|_{p} & \leqslant \max _{z \in \Gamma_{n}}\left|\omega_{n}(z)\right|\left\|\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{h}{\omega_{n}}\right)\right\|_{p} \\
& \leqslant c_{6} \max _{z \in I_{n}}\left|\omega_{n}(z)\right|\left\|\frac{h}{\omega_{n}}\right\|_{p, n} \\
& \leqslant c_{6} \max _{\zeta, z \in \Gamma_{n}}\left|\frac{\omega_{n}(z)}{\omega_{n}(\zeta)}\right|\|h\|_{p, n} \\
& \leqslant c_{6} c_{17}^{2}\|h\|_{p, n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathbb{P} h=h$, we also have $\|h\|_{p} \leqslant c_{6}\|h\|_{p, n}$. Then

$$
\left\|P_{n-1}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{36}\|h\|_{p, n}
$$

And by (5.1), we completed the proof of Lemma 6.

## 6. Proof of the Theorem

It is sufficient to show that

$$
\left\|L_{n}(f, z)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{37} \max _{z \in D}|f(z)|
$$

holds for $1<p<\infty, f \in A(\bar{D})$.
From Lemma 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L_{n}(f, z)\right\|_{p} & \leqslant c_{33}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|f\left(z_{n, k}\right)\right|^{p}\left|z_{n, k+1}-z_{n, k}\right|\right\}^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant c_{33}|\Gamma|^{1 / p} \max _{z \in D}|f(z)|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\Gamma|$ means the length of $\Gamma$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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